See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260007017

# Effectiveness of national air pollution control policies on the air quality in metropolitan areas of China

#### ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES · MARCH 2014

Impact Factor: 2 · DOI: 10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60381-2

CITATION	S	READS	
13		152	
5 AUTH	ORS, INCLUDING:		
	Shuxiao Wang		Jia Xing
	Tsinghua University	$\sim$	United States Environmental Protection Ag
	145 PUBLICATIONS 2,778 CITATIONS		<b>39</b> PUBLICATIONS <b>836</b> CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE
	Bin Zhao		
	University of California, Los Angeles		
	20 PUBLICATIONS 225 CITATIONS		
	SEE PROFILE		

# JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

ISSN 1001-0742 CN 11-2629/X

January 1, 2014 Volume 26 Number 1 www.jesc.ac.cn

Progress and prospects of atmospheric environmental sciences in China

Fahe Chai, Abdelwahid Mellouki, Yujing Mu, Jianmin Chen, Huiwang Gao, Hong Li





Sponsored by Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences Chinese Academy of Sciences

## CONTENTS

Special Issue: Progress and prospects of atmospheric environmental sciences in China	
Preface	
Fahe Chai, Abedelwahid Mellouki, Yujing Mu, Jianmin Chen, Huiwang Gao, Hong Li	1
Haze insights and mitigation in China: An overview	
Xuliang Zhuang, Yuesi Wang, Hong He, Jianguo Liu, Xinming Wang, Tingyu Zhu, Maofa Ge, Ju Zhou, Guiqian Tang, Jinzhu Ma	2
Effectiveness of national air pollution control policies on the air quality in metropolitan areas of China	
Shuxiao Wang, Jia Xing, Bin Zhao, Carey Jang, Jiming Hao ·····	13
Fast increasing of surface ozone concentrations in Pearl River Delta characterized by a regional air quality monitoring network during 2006–2011	
Jinfeng Li, Keding Lu, Wei Lv, Jun Li, Liuju Zhong, Yubo Ou, Duohong Chen, Xin Huang, Yuanhang Zhang	23
Hygroscopicity and optical properties of alkylaminium sulfates	
Dawei Hu, Chunlin Li, Hui Chen, Jianmin Chen, Xingnan Ye, Ling Li, Xin Yang, Xinming Wang, Abdelwahid Mellouki, Zhongyang Hu	
Photochemical properties and source of pollutants during continuous pollution episodes in Beijing, October, 2011	
Jian Gao, Yuechong Zhang, Meng Zhang, Jingqiao Zhang, Shulan Wang, Jun Tao, Han Wang, Datong Luo, Fahe Chai, Chun Ren	44
Dry deposition of PM <sub>10</sub> over the Yellow Sea during Asian dust events from 2001 to 2007	
Han Yan, Xiaohuan Liu, Jianhua Qi, Huiwang Gao ·····	54
Seasonal and diurnal variations of atmospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate, peroxypropionyl nitrate, and carbon tetrachloride in Beijing	
Gen Zhang, Yujing Mu, Junfeng Liu, Chenglong Zhang, Yuanyuan Zhang, Yujie Zhang	
Spatial and temporal variation of particulate matter and gaseous pollutants in 26 cities in China	
Fahe Chai, Jian Gao, Zhenxing Chen, Shulan Wang, Yuechong Zhang, Jingqiao Zhang, Hefeng Zhang, Yaru Yun, Chun Ren	75
Wintertime peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the megacity Beijing: Role of photochemical and meteorological processes	
Hualong Zhang, Xiaobin Xu, Weili Lin, Ying Wang ·····	83
Modeling study on seasonal variation in aerosol extinction properties over China	
Yi Gao, Meigen Zhang ·····	
Compositions and sources of organic acids in fine particles (PM2.5) over the Pearl River Delta region, south China	
Xiuying Zhao, Xinming Wang, Xiang Ding, Quanfu He, Zhou Zhang, Tengyu Liu, Xiaoxin Fu, Bo Gao, Yunpeng Wang, Yanli Zhang, Xuejiao Deng, Dui W	<i>v</i> u ·····110
Carbonyl emissions from heavy-duty diesel vehicle exhaust in China and the contribution to ozone formation potential	
Dong Dong, Min Shao, Yue Li, Sihua Lu, Yanjun Wang, Zhe Ji, Dagang Tang ·····	122
Hygroscopicity of particles generated from photooxidation of $\alpha$ -pinene under different oxidation conditions in the presence of sulfate seed aerosols	
Biwu Chu, Kun Wang, Hideto Takekawa, Junhua Li, Wei Zhou, Jingkun Jiang, Qinxing Ma, Hong He, Jiming Hao	129
Gas separation using porous cement membrane	
Weiqi Zhang, Maria Gaggl, Gregor J. G. Gluth, Frank Behrendt ·····	140
Characteristics of atmospheric particles and heavy metals in winter in Chang-Zhu-Tan city clusters, China	
Kai Zhang, Fahe Chai, Zilong Zheng, Qing Yang, Juansheng Li, Jing Wang, Yujie Zhang ·····	147
Mechanism and rate constants for complete series reactions of 19 fluorophenols with atomic H	
Rui Gao, Xiaoyan Sun, Wanni Yu, Qingzhu Zhang, Wenxing Wang ·····	154
Emission factors of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from domestic coal combustion in China	
Geng Chunmei, Chen Jianhua, Yang Xiaoyang, Ren Lihong, Yin Baohui, Liu Xiaoyu, Bai Zhipeng	160
Oxidative capacities of size-segregated haze particles in a residential area of Beijing	
Zhenquan Sun, Longyi Shao, Yujing Mu, Ying Hu	167
Impact of emission control on regional air quality: An observational study of air pollutants before, during and after the Beijing Olympic Games	
Shulan Wang, Jian Gao, Yuechong Zhang, Jingqiao Zhang, Fahe Cha, Tao Wang, Chun Ren, Wenxing Wang	175
Mechanism and kinetics study on the ozonolysis reaction of 2,3,7,8-TCDD in the atmosphere	
Jing Bai, Xiaomin Sun, Chenxi Zhang, Chen Gong, Jingtian Hu, Jianghua Zhang ·····	181
Size distribution, characteristics and sources of heavy metals in haze episod in Beijing	
Jingchun Duan, Jihua Tan, Jiming Hao, Fahe Chai ······	189
Estimation of PM <sub>10</sub> in the traffic-related atmosphere for three road types in Beijing and Guangzhou, China	
Yu Wang, Jiong Li, Xiang Cheng, Xiaoxiu Lun, Dezhi Sun, Xingzu Wang	197
Trace metals in atmospheric fine particles in one industrial urban city: Spatial variations, sources, and health implications	
Shengzhen Zhou, Qi Yuan, Weijun Li, Yaling Lu, Yangmei Zhang, Wenxing Wang ·····	205
Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of benzene homologues in ambient air in the northeastern urban area of Beijing, China	
Lei Li, Hong Li, Xinmin Zhang, Li Wang, Linghong Xu, Xuezhong Wang, Yanting Yu, Yujie Zhang, Guan Cao	214
CH4 emission and conversion from A2O and SBR processes in full-scale wastewater treatment plants	
Yan Liu, Xiang Cheng, Xiaoxiu Lun, Dezhi Sun	224

Serial parameter: CN 11-2629/X\*1989\*m\*230\*en\*P\*25\*2014-1



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

www.jesc.ac.cn



## Effectiveness of national air pollution control policies on the air quality in metropolitan areas of China

Shuxiao Wang<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Jia Xing<sup>3</sup>, Bin Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Carey Jang<sup>3</sup>, Jiming Hao<sup>1,4</sup>

1. State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China. E-mail: shxwang@tsinghua.edu.cn

2. State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Sources and Control of Air Pollution Complex, Beijing 100084, China

3. US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

4. Collaborative Innovation Center for Regional Environmental Quality, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Special issue: Progress and prospects of atmospheric environmental science in China

Keywords: air pollution control sulfur dioxide nitrogen oxides power plant DOI: 10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60381-2

#### ABSTRACT

Understanding the effectiveness of national air pollution controls is important for control policy design to improve the future air quality in China. This study evaluated the effectiveness of major national control policies implemented recently in China through a modeling analysis. The sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) control policy during the 11th Five Year Plan period (2006-2010) had succeeded in reducing the national SO<sub>2</sub> emission in 2010 by 14% from its 2005 level, which correspondingly reduced ambient SO<sub>2</sub> and sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) concentrations by 13%–15% and 8%–10% respectively over east China. The nitrogen oxides (NOx) control policy during the 12th Five Year Plan period (2011-2015) targets the reduction of the national NOx emission in 2015 by 10% on the basis of 2010. The simulation results suggest that such a reduction in NOx emission will reduce the ambient nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), 1-hr maxima ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) concentrations and total nitrogen deposition by 8%, 3%–14%, 2% and 2%-4%, respectively over east China. The application of new emission standards for power plants will further reduce the NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, 1-hr maxima O<sub>3</sub> concentrations and total nitrogen deposition by 2%-4%, 1%-6%, 0-2% and 1%-2%, respectively. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the inter-provincial impacts of emission reduction in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei and the Yangtze River Delta, which indicated the need to implement joint regional air pollution control.

#### Introduction

Recent studies indicate that the status of air pollution in China is far more complicated than ever before (Shao et al., 2006; Hao et al., 2007; Chan and Yao, 2008; Wang and Hao, 2012). With the rapid development of the economy, urbanization and transportation, almost all types of air pollution problems, which were experienced for nearly a century in developed countries, exploded in China within last two decades. Complex air pollution, characterized by regional photochemical smog and haze,

exhibited an increasing trend in recent years in rapidly developing regions such as the North China Plain (NCP), the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) and the Pearl River Delta (PRD). In order to prevent the further deterioration of air quality and to protect human health and the ecosystem, the Chinese government has implemented a series of national control policies to reduce the emissions of air pollutants since 2005 (Wang and Hao, 2012). The 11th Five-Year Plan (FYP) (2006-2010) for national environmental protection required the reduction of annual emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) in 2010 by 10% from its 2005 level. To achieve this goal, flue gas desulfurization (FGD) has been widely installed in coal-fired power plants. In 2005, only · Jese . Re . Ch 15% installed capacity of power plants had FGD, but the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: shxwang@tsinghua.edu.cn

percentage had increased to 81% by 2010 (Xing et al., 2011a). Consequently, the national trend of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions turned to a decrease after two-decade growth. A large reduction in ambient SO<sub>2</sub> level has been also observed by ground monitors and satellite monitoring instruments (Wang and Hao, 2012; Li et al., 2010).

Urgent needs for nitrogen oxide (NO*x*) control in China have been addressed in recent studies (Zhao et al., 2009; Li et al., 2013). In China's 12th FYP (2011–2015), nationwide controls on NO*x* emission will be implemented along with the controls on SO<sub>2</sub> and primary particles. The Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) of China set a target to reduce the national NO*x* emissions in 2015 by 10% from the 2010 level. Nationwide control actions involving all newly-built and most existing power plants, iron and steel plants, cement plants and vehicles are being taken. In addition, on 29 July in 2011, MEP released a new "emission standard of air pollutants for thermal power plants" (GB 13223-2011) to further strengthen the NO*x* controls and guarantee the achievement of the 12th FYP target.

Regional air pollution has drawn more and more attention in China. For metropolitan areas in particular, the cities within regions suffer significant interactive impacts from each other. In order to better improve the regional air quality throughout metropolitan areas, MEP has issued the action of "Joint Prevention and Control of Air Pollution" in 2011, which will first be implemented in three key regions, i.e., the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Region, YRD and PRD during the period of the 12th FYP. This plan aims to establish a joint prevention and control system and ensure that all cities in the three key regions maintain air quality at or better than the Grade II National Ambient Air Quality Standard (GB 3095–1996) and effectively improve the regional air quality.

Currently China is at the most critical stage of air quality management. Evaluation of the current and future policies' effectiveness is not only important for our understanding of the role of current national control policy but also vital for future policy design. Following our previous studies (Wang et al., 2010a, 2010b; Wang and Hao, 2012), this article used the Model-3/Community Multi-scale Air Quality Model (CMAQ) to analyze the effectiveness of national air pollution control policies implemented recently in China. Besides the baseline, several hypothetical scenarios with different emissions have been simulated. From the sensitivity analysis, the control effectiveness of the 11th FYP on SO<sub>2</sub> and 12th FYP on NOx has been evaluated. The necessity of regional joint control action is addressed as well.

#### 1 Methodology

#### 1.1 Emission inventories

Following the same methodology as Wang et al. (2011a), the emission inventories in 2010 and 2015 were updated from our previous emission inventory in 2005 according to the changes in activities and implementation of control measures. The activity growth was calculated using the method developed by Xing et al. (2011a) and Zhao et al. (2013a). Key parameters used for the emission prediction are given in **Table 1**. Details on the description of each scenario are given in **Table 2**.

The 2005 reference scenario (REF) represents the SO<sub>2</sub> and NOx emissions in 2005. The 2010 business-as-usual scenario (BAU) assumed that there were no controls on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions during the 11th FYP. The 2010 baseline scenario (BAS) considered the SO<sub>2</sub> emission control policies by sector during the 11th FYP, as seen in Table 2. The national anthropogenic emissions of SO2, NOx, particles with aerodynamic diameter less than 10  $\mu$ m (PM<sub>10</sub>) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) in 2010 are estimated to be 24.6 Tg, 24.9 Tg, 11.7 Tg and 20.2 Tg, respectively. Compared with 2005, the emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in 2010 decreased by 14% and 39%, respectively. However, the emissions of NOx and NMVOC increased by 34% and 21%, respectively (Zhao et al., 2013b). In order to evaluate the effectiveness of national SO<sub>2</sub> control policy during the period of the 11th FYP, two hypothetical emission scenarios were designed. The difference between BAU and BAS scenarios represents the absolute reduction amount of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by the 11th FYP control. The difference between REF and BAS represents the relative changes caused by the 11th FYP control compared to 2005.

To evaluate the effectiveness of national NOx control policy during the current 12th FYP period, three hypothetical emission scenarios were designed. In 2015 BAU, we assumed the NOx emission will keep on growing without the 12th FYP control. For the other two controlled scenarios, in 2015 FYP we assumed the NOx control of the 12th FYP applied; in 2015 new standard for power plants scenario (POW) we assumed the new emission standard of power plant applied to further reduce the NOx emission. Therefore, the difference between FYP and BAU represents the absolute reduction amount of NOx emission

Table 1         Key parameters used in	the predictio	n of 2015 acti	vities
Item	2005	2010	2015
GDP (2005 price, billion CNY)	18322	31097	45692
Population ( $\times 10^6$ )	1306	1340	1390
Power generation (TWh)	2500	4207	5579
Crude steel yield (Mt)	353	627	675
Cement yield (Mt)	1069	1880	1940
Urban residential building area per capita (m <sup>2</sup> )	19.2	23.0	26.0
Rural residential building area per capita (m <sup>2</sup> )	29.7	34.1	36.5
		°	

Year	Name	Power plant (PP)	Industry boiler (IN)	Transportation (TR)	Residential sector (DO)
2005	Reference (REF)	2005 baseline	2005 baseline	2005 baseline	2005 baseline
2010	Business as usual (BAU)	2005 baseline	2005 baseline	2005 baseline	2005 baseline
	Baseline (BAS)	FGD system installed in all new thermal power plants and most existing ones; shut down small plants with low energy efficiency during 11th FYP	Clean combustion technology employed in industries; comprehensive treatment of waste gases	The same as BAU	Clean fuel used in residential sector; concentrated urban heat supply
2015	Business as usual (BAU) 12th FYP control (FYP)	2010 baseline LNB used in all coal-fired power plants; flue gas de-NOx technology installed in the plants that are 200 MW or larger	2010 baseline LNB applied in newly-built industrial boilers including sinters and the cement plants with the precalcining technique	2010 baseline Applied the new vehicle standard (Euro IV)	2010 baseline Energy saving and clean fuel used in residentialsector; Concentrated urban heat and gas supply
	New emission standard for power plant (POW)	New emission standard implemented for power plant	The same as 12th FYP	The same as 12th FYP	The same as 12th FYI

by the 12th FYP control, and the difference between POW and FYP represents the effectiveness of the stricter emission standard for power plants.

This study only focused on the control on SO<sub>2</sub> and NOx. Emissions for other species are kept the same as 2010 BAS. The comparisons of SO<sub>2</sub> and NOx emission in different scenarios and provinces are given in Fig. 1 and Table 3.

#### 1.2 Air quality modeling system

The air quality model used in this study is the CMAQ modeling system (ver. 4.7.1), developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency. A complete description of CMAQ configuration, meteorological, emission, and initial and boundary condition inputs used for this analysis are described by Wang et al. (2011a). The modeling domain covers most of China with a  $36 \times 36$  km grid resolution, as shown in Fig. 2. The three most developed regions over east China (ECH), i.e., NCP, the YRD and the PRD, as well as three megacities, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou located in each region, have been chosen as the target areas and cities. The simulation period is January and July in 2010.

The simulations of this modeling system have been validated through comparison with observations of satellite retrievals and surface monitoring data, in aspects of the NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> column density and Aerosol Optical Depth, as well as ground concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its component.

#### 1.3 Validation of emission reductions using observed SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio

The changes of  $SO_2$  and NOx emissions may cause a considerable change of SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio observable in



Fig. 1 Comparison of SO<sub>2</sub> and NOx emissions in each scenario.

#### Journal of Environmental Sciences 2014, 26(1) 13-22

Table 3 Pro	vincial emissi	ons in each sco	enario (unit: G	g/year)						
		SO <sub>2</sub>				NOx			PM <sub>10</sub>	NMVOC
	2005REF	2010BAU	2010BAS	2005REF	2010BAS	2015BAU	2015FYP	2015POW	2010	2010
Anhui	640	786	578	763	943	1039	784	698	502	872
Beijing	213	263	202	316	460	414	348	340	112	362
Chongqing	689	871	715	259	377	400	334	316	209	341
Fujian	437	540	404	384	720	860	675	608	284	476
Gansu	324	394	270	318	425	475	356	312	182	225
Guangdong	1107	1344	912	1155	1664	1825	1341	1284	638	1817
Guangxi	792	985	758	364	528	560	461	433	551	775
Guizhou	1496	1834	1253	416	461	521	386	336	377	393
Hainan	57	68	46	54	90	96	72	64	48	114
Hebei	2377	2921	2112	1468	1728	1918	1480	1434	791	1113
Heilongjiang	293	356	243	574	644	690	538	483	371	558
Henan	1764	2121	1328	1287	1707	1807	1448	1323	781	1157
Hubei	1255	1543	1114	675	826	903	732	683	433	685
Hunan	1012	1259	965	575	780	843	676	625	489	626
Jiangsu	1764	2140	1433	1348	1736	1896	1254	1180	779	1845
Jiangxi	585	712	483	353	532	566	454	420	248	391
Jilin	383	473	348	452	544	571	443	397	281	400
Liaoning	1146	1404	992	808	1061	1139	908	822	528	797
Nei Mongol	978	1188	779	683	1065	1177	803	655	385	421
Ningxia	355	424	253	157	262	303	199	158	85	92
Qinghai	18	23	20	50	87	85	69	65	36	46
Shaanxi	914	1105	700	412	633	701	523	460	240	324
Shandong	3356	4111	2914	1767	2363	2635	2140	1963	930	1884
Shanghai	370	450	305	407	468	522	338	313	150	476
Shanxi	1621	1954	1219	813	1037	1130	840	725	471	489
Sichuan	2025	2515	1898	712	883	925	798	768	621	1274
Tianjin	374	458	327	257	366	387	267	254	142	238
Tibet	1	1	1	15	24	23	21	21	2	13
Xinjiang	309	387	305	286	417	433	359	333	333	338
Yunnan	557	687	505	428	493	500	415	387	276	401
Zhejiang	1385	1714	1297	946	1250	1405	876	819	462	1239
Total	28597	35031	24679	18502	24574	26749	20338	18679	11737	20182

NMVOC: non-methane volatile organic compound.





**Fig. 2** Modeling domain and location of targeted cities and regions. NCP: North China Plain, YRD: Yangtze River Delta, PRD: Pearl River Delta, ECH: East China.

the environment, such as that in ambient concentrations, aerosol chemical components and wet depositions. Am-

bient  $SO_2$  and  $NO_2$  concentrations have a relative linear response relative to their primary emissions. Therefore it is possible to validate their emission changes based on the changes of observed  $NO_2/SO_2$  ratios (Li et al., 2010).

In this study, two types of observed SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio were collected for the period of 2005–2010. First, the daily concentration of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> in three megacities, i.e., Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou were derived from the Air Pollution Index reported by local environmental monitoring centers (Wang et al., 2011a). The other is the tropospheric vertical density (VCD) of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> measured by the satellite remote sensors. The monthly NO<sub>2</sub> VCD data is from the Dutch ozone monitoring instrument NO<sub>2</sub> (DOMINO) product by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) (Boersma et al., 2007). The monthly SO<sub>2</sub> VCD data is from the SCIAMACHY product by BIRA-IASB (2008). Details on the data processing are given by Zhao et al. (2013c). -X-ECH

70

60 50

40

#### 2 Results and discussion

#### 2.1 Impacts of SO<sub>2</sub> emission control during 11th FYP

#### **2.1.1** Reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup> concentrations

From the sensitivity analysis with CMAQ, we compared the simulated SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations under three emission scenarios. The results are given in Table 4.

Based on our results, the 11th FYP control on SO<sub>2</sub> emission benefits the reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by 13%-15% compared to 2005 over east China. It also benefits the reduction of the aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations by 8%-10% compared to 2005.

In the case of no 11th FYP controls, due to the continual growth of activity, the ambient  $SO_2$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$ concentrations would increase by 21%-27% and 12%-17% respectively during the period of 2005–2010.

- YRD

NCP

8

7

5

4

#### 2.1.2 Changes of SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio

The simulated NO<sub>2</sub> concentration in 2010 increased by 37% compared to 2005, for both 2010 BAS and BAU scenarios. Combined with the change of SO<sub>2</sub> concentration in BAS, the simulated change in SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio is calculated to be 37%, which indicates the 11th FYP controls will reduce the SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio by 37% compared to 2005. In BAU, the  $SO_2/NO_2$  ratio decreases as well, by 11%. That is because the increase of NOx emission was faster than that of SO<sub>2</sub> due to higher growth rates of vehicle populations and several industry processes (e.g., cement plants), which contribute more to total emissions of NOx than SO<sub>2</sub>.

The observed concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> and the  $SO_2/NO_2$  ratio are given in Fig. 3. As seen from satellite retrievals over the three key regions, the SO<sub>2</sub> VCD present obvious decreases since 2007 (2006 for PRD). The SO<sub>2</sub> VCD over east China in 2010 decreased by 38% compared to that in 2005. In contrast, the NO<sub>2</sub> VCD present continual increases during this period, except for slight decreases

- SHH

📥 GUZ

BEJ



· Jose . Re . Cill VCD: vertical density.

Table 4	4 Simulated 2010 baseline SO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> concentrations and their changes in two hypothetical emission scenarios (unit: $\mu g/m^3$ )												
		NCP			YRD			PRD			ECH		
		BAS	$\Delta FYP$	$\Delta BAU$	BAS	$\Delta FYP$	ΔBAU	BAS	$\Delta FYP$	ΔBAU	BAS	$\Delta FYP$	ΔBAU
SO <sub>2</sub>	January	42.3	-14%	+22%	47.3	-14%	+23%	19.6	-13%	+22%	19.6	-14%	+23%
	July	13.0	-15%	+27%	15.7	-15%	+24%	6.7	-13%	+21%	5.2	-15%	+25%
$SO_4^{2-}$	January	4.9	-10%	+15%	7.9	-8%	+12%	7.0	-8%	+13%	5.0	-8%	+13%
	July	9.6	-12%	+17%	5.1	-10%	+14%	1.9	-8%	+12%	4.5	-10%	+15%

 $\Delta FYP = (BAS - REF)/REF; \Delta BAU = (BAU - REF)/REF.$ 

in NCP and PRD when the 2008 Olympic Games and 2010 Asia Games were held in Beijing and Guangzhou respectively. The NO<sub>2</sub> VCD over east China increased by 11% during this period.

The data from ground monitoring stations in the three megacities indicate that both the SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations decreased during this period. This is mainly because strict new emission standards (e.g. the vehicle emission standard is stricter than in other area in China) and strengthened control measures were implemented in these megacities. But the reduction ratio of SO<sub>2</sub> is much larger than that of NO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, similar decreasing trends of SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio are also found in surface observations.

The comparison between the simulated and observed changes in the concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> and the  $SO_2/NO_2$  ratio are given in Table 5.

From satellite data, the reduction of SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio over east China is comparable with the BAS simulation (37%), at 40%. Although slightly lower reduction ratios were found in key regions, they are still much higher than the BAU simulation (11%), at 25%-33%. Similar results are shown in ground monitor data. The reduction of SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio is comparable with the one in the BAS simulation, at 41%. Relatively lower values are found in Beijing and Guangzhou, but higher than BAU, at 19%–22%.

From this analysis we can conclude that the effectiveness of the SO<sub>2</sub> controls during the 11th FYP is consistent with the observations, although anomalies in meteorological conditions may cause some uncertainties.

#### 2.2 Effectiveness of NOx emission control during 12th FYP

#### 2.2.1 Reduction in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations

The simulated NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in the 2010 baseline as well as their changes in three 2015 scenarios are given in Fig. 4 and Table 6.

The highest NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are found in metropolitan areas, i.e., NCP, YRD and PRD, where the NO<sub>2</sub> levels are 7.0, 9.7 and 5.4 times higher than the average of east China, respectively. They would further increase by 6%-9% if no measures are taken during 2010-2015. The 12th FYP controls will reduce the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by 8% over east China, and the three regions in particular will have  $NO_2$  concentrations reduced by 6%–16% in 2015. The application of the new emission standard for power plants will further reduce the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by 2%-4% in the three regions.

Higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration can be also found in these metropolitan areas compared to east China. The NO<sub>2</sub> levels in NCP, YRD and PRD are 7.2, 6.8 and 2.3 times higher than the average of east China, respectively. Although higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration is found in January, its responses to the changes of NOx emissions are much smaller than that in July, especially for NCP and YRD. That is because of the nonlinear behavior under the NOxrich regime in winter (Wang et al., 2011b). For example, the reduction of NOx emission will enhance the daytime atmospheric oxidization then increase the percentage of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation from NO<sub>2</sub>, which would compensate for

Simulation         BAU         ECH $+23\%$ $+37\%$ $-11\%$ (without 11th FY           BAS         ECH $-14\%$ $+37\%$ $-37\%$ (with 11th FYP)           Observation         Satellite         ECH $-38\%$ $+11\%$ $-40\%$ NCP $-21\%$ $+34\%$ $-33\%$ $-33\%$ YRD $-20\%$ $+10\%$ $-25\%$ PRD $-39\%$ $-18\%$ $-28\%$ Monitor         Beijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ $-22\%$ Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$	Туре	2	Region	$\Delta SO_2$	$\Delta NO_2$	$\Delta(SO_2/NO_2)$
BASECH $-14\%$ $+37\%$ $-37\%$ (with 11th FYP)ObservationSatelliteECH $-38\%$ $+11\%$ $-40\%$ NCP $-21\%$ $+34\%$ $-33\%$ $-33\%$ YRD $-20\%$ $+10\%$ $-25\%$ PRD $-39\%$ $-18\%$ $-28\%$ MonitorBeijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ Beijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ $-22\%$ Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1.$	Simulation	BAU	ECH	+23%	+37%	-11% (without 11th FYP
Observation         Satellite         ECH $-38\%$ $+11\%$ $-40\%$ NCP $-21\%$ $+34\%$ $-33\%$ YRD $-20\%$ $+10\%$ $-25\%$ PRD $-39\%$ $-18\%$ $-28\%$ Monitor         Beijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ $-22\%$ Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$		BAS	ECH	-14%	+37%	-37% (with 11th FYP)
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} NCP & -21\% & +34\% & -33\% \\ YRD & -20\% & +10\% & -25\% \\ PRD & -39\% & -18\% & -28\% \\ PRD & -39\% & -18\% & -28\% \\ Beijing & -32\% & -13\% & -22\% \\ Shanghai & -52\% & -19\% & -41\% \\ Guangzhou & -37\% & -22\% & -19\% \\ \end{array} $ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1. $	Observation	Satellite	ECH	-38%	+11%	-40%
YRD $-20\%$ $+10\%$ $-25\%$ PRD $-39\%$ $-18\%$ $-28\%$ MonitorBeijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ $-22\%$ Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1.$			NCP	-21%	+34%	-33%
PRD $-39\%$ $-18\%$ $-28\%$ MonitorBeijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ $-22\%$ Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1.$			YRD	-20%	+10%	-25%
MonitorBeijing $-32\%$ $-13\%$ $-22\%$ Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1.$			PRD	-39%	-18%	-28%
Shanghai $-52\%$ $-19\%$ $-41\%$ Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1.$		Monitor	Beijing	-32%	-13%	-22%
Guangzhou $-37\%$ $-22\%$ $-19\%$ Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1.$			Shanghai	-52%	-19%	-41%
Two months (January and July) mean for simulations, annual mean for observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NO_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta NO_2) - 1$ .			Guangzhou	-37%	-22%	-19%
	Two months (January	and July) mean for sin	Guangzhou nulations, annual mean for o	-37% observation, $\Delta(SO_2/NC)$	$-22\%$ $D_2) = (1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1 + \Delta SO_2)/(1$	-19% ΔNO <sub>2</sub> ) - 1.

**Table 6** Simulated  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3^-$ ,  $O_3$  concentrations and total nitrogen deposition (TN) in 2010 baseline as well as their changes in three 2015 scenarios

			NCP				YRD				PRD			ECH			
		BAS	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_2$	$\Delta_3$	BAS	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_2$	$\Delta_3$	BAS	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_2$	$\Delta_3$	BAS	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_2$	$\Delta_3$
NO <sub>2</sub>	Jan	38.5	+8	-6	-9	44.2	+7	-13	-15	28.7	+6	-9	-11	4.6	+10	-9	-13
(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Jul	12.5	+9	-8	-12	24.2	+9	-16	-19	12.5	+7	-12	-14	1.8	+6	-7	-10
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Jan	19.3	-1	-1	-2	22.9	0	0	-1	8.9	+3	-5	-7	3.6	+2	-3	-5
$(\mu g/m^3)$	Jul	13.6	+10	-13	-19	8.2	+8	-17	-21	1.8	+2	-8	-10	1.0	+10	-14	-20
$O_3 (\mu g/m^3)$	Jul	141.8	+1	-2	-4	123.4	+1	-3	-4	94.1	-1	+1	+1	82.0	+1	-2	-2
TN	Jan	0.9	+3	-2	-4	1.5	+4	-6	-8	1.8	+5	-7	-9	0.4	+4	-4	-6
(kg/(ha·month))	Jul	8.8	+2	-3	-4	7.0	+3	-5	-6	3.7	+2	-4	-5	1.5	+2	-2	-3

Monthly mean of  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and TN deposition, monthly mean of daily 1-hr maxima  $O_3$ .

the total reduction of  $NO_3^-$ . Therefore, with the continual growth of NOx emission in BAU, the  $NO_3^-$  concentrations would increase by only 0–3% in January, but 2%–10% in July. The 12th FYP controls on NOx emission will result in 0–5% reduction of  $NO_3^-$  concentration in January, but 8%–17% in July; and the application of the new emission standard for power plants will further reduce the  $NO_3^-$  concentrations by only 1%–2% in January, but by 2%–6% in July.

#### 2.2.2 Impacts on O<sub>3</sub> concentrations

The simulated  $O_3$  (daily 1-hr maxima concentration) in the July 2010 baseline as well as the changes in three 2015 scenarios are given in **Fig. 5** and **Table 6**.

As with  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$ , higher  $O_3$  concentrations are found in these metropolitan areas. If no controls are ap-

plied, the  $O_3$  level would increase by 1% over east China. The 12th FYP control on the NOx emission will benefit the reductions in regional  $O_3$  by 2%. Application of the new emission standard for power plants will further reduce the regional  $O_3$  by 2% in the three regions. However, local  $O_3$  in megacities, e.g., Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou, will get worse under such a reduction of NOx emission due to its adverse effect in a NOx-rich regime. Synchronous control of VOCs must be taken into account in these cities (Xing et al., 2011b).

#### 2.2.3 Reduction in total nitrogen deposition

In this study, the total nitrogen deposition (TN) is defined as wet and dry deposition of  $NO_3^-$ ,  $HNO_3$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $N_2O_5$ , NO,  $NO_2$ , peroxyacetyl nitrate, HONO, and organic nitrate (all counted as nitrogen). The simulated TN in the 2010



**Fig. 4** Simulated NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in 2010 baseline as well as their changes in three 2015 scenarios (monthly mean, unit: μg/m<sup>3</sup>). ΔBAU = BAU - BAS; ΔFYP = FYP – BAS; ΔPOW = POW – BAS.

150

120

90

60

30

0

5

3

1

-3

-5

Min: 38.9 Max: 169.7

Min: -11.733 Max: 16.959

130°E

120°E

110°E

ΔBAU

APOW

90°Е

100°E

**Fig. 5** Simulated O<sub>3</sub> concentration in July 2010 baseline as well as its changes in three 2015 scenarios (monthly mean of daily 1-hr maxima, unit:  $\mu g/m^3$ ).  $\Delta BAU = BAU - BAS$ ;  $\Delta FYP = FYP - BAS$ ;  $\Delta POW = POW - BAS$ .



**Fig. 6** Simulated total nitrogen deposition in 2010 baseline as well as its changes in three 2015 scenarios (monthly mean, unit: kg/(ha·month)).  $\Delta$ BAU = BAU – BAS;  $\Delta$ FYP = FYP – BAS;  $\Delta$ POW = POW – BAS.

baseline as well as its changes in three 2015 scenarios are given in **Fig. 6** and **Table 6**.

Similar to NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, higher TN is found in east China. The difference is that higher TN is found in July due to higher frequency of rain. If no controls are applied, the TN would increase by 2%–4% over east China. The 12th FYP control on NOx will benefit the reduction in TN by 2%–6%. Application of the new emission standard for power plants will further reduce the regional TN by 1%–2%.

#### 2.3 Necessity of joint regional air pollution control

#### 2.3.1 Sensitivity analysis design

On May 11, 2010, China issued the Guiding Opinions on Pushing Forward the Joint Prevention and Control of Air Pollution to Improve Regional Air Quality. Three regions, i.e., Beijing-Tianjin-Tangshan, YRD and PRD have been selected for beginning the implementation of regional air pollution joint prevention and control. In this article, we conducted sensitivity analysis with the CMAQ model to identify the regional contributions to the air pollution in target cities. Two key regions which involve interprovincial co-operation were selected as target areas, i.e., Beijing-Tianjin-Tangshan and YRD. We also chose one city in each province as the target cities, as seen in **Fig. 7**.

5

3

-3

-5

5

3

1

-1

-3

-5

Min: -8.813 Max: 9.463

-14.699 Max: 18.633

130°F

Min:

120°F

110°E

The sensitivity analysis was conducted by reducing 10% of total SO<sub>2</sub> and NO*x* emissions from each individual province in turn. The air quality changes in the controlled simulation from the baseline are regarded as the control effectiveness by individual emission reductions in each province.

BAS

AFYF

90°E

100°E

45°N

40°N

35°N

30°N

25°N

20°N

45°N

40°N

35°N

30°N

2.5°N

20°N

**Table 7** Comparison of local and regional control effectiveness in target cites (response to 10% controls on SO<sub>2</sub>/NOx emissions from individual province (unit: %)

Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei			Beijing u	ırban cent	er	Tianjin urban center			Tangshan urban center				
		SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	$NO_3^-$	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub> -
Jan	Beijing	7.7	3.8	2.8	-0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1
	Tianjin	0.2	0.1	0.1	-0.3	8.7	3.8	5.6	0.2	1.6	1.4	1.0	-0.6
	Hebei	1.8	0.3	1.4	-0.1	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.3	7.7	4.1	4.5	-0.5
Jul	Beijing	5.4	7.3	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4
	Tianjin	1.8	0.4	0.8	2.1	8.9	7.0	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.4	0.6	1.2
	Hebei	2.6	0.4	2.3	3.7	0.7	0.2	1.4	3.0	7.8	8.6	2.8	3.1
YRD		Shanghai urban center			Suzhou urban center				Jiaxing urban center				
		SO <sub>2</sub>	$NO_2$	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	$NO_3^-$	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	NO <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	$NO_2$	$SO_4^{2-}$	NO <sub>3</sub> -
Jan	Shanghai	8.1	3.7	3.8	-0.2	0.5	0.3	0.3	-0.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	-0.1
	Jiangsu	1.2	0.1	0.5	0.5	2.9	1.7	1.4	-1.1	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3
	Zhejiang	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	6.1	2.8	1.7	-0.2	8.4	3.9	2.8	-0.1
Jul	Shanghai	9.3	5.7	2.8	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
	Jiangsu	0.2	0.1	0.4	1.3	8.6	6.1	2.7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3
	Zhejiang	1.1	0.7	1.1	4.3	1.2	0.8	1.6	6.1	10.1	9.1	4.5	8.3



#### 2.3.2 Inter-provincial impacts of emission reduction

**Table 7** gives the control effectiveness of 10% reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO*x* emissions applied to individual provinces. It is clearly seen that such a reduction in a certain province may not only benefit the improvement of local air quality inside the province, but also has a considerable contribution to improve the air quality in nearby cities, particularly for secondary species like SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

For the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, the control of 10% emissions in Tianjin will reduce 9% SO<sub>2</sub>, 4% NO<sub>2</sub> and 6% SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> there, and also reduce 2% of SO<sub>2</sub>, 1% of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, 1%–2% of summer NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in Beijing and Tangshan. The 10% emission control in Hebei not only improves the local air quality in Tangshan, but also greatly benefits the reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> (1%–2%), SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (1%–2%) and summer NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (2%–4%) in Beijing and Tianjin.

Although the impacts from 10% emission reduction in Beijing on the other two cities are relatively small, there is still 0.4% reduction of summer  $NO_3^-$  (0.4%) for Tianjin and Tangshan.

Similar results are also found in YRD. The 10% emission control in Zhejiang will not only reduce the air pollution in Jiaxing, but also reduce 1-2% of SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, 1% of NO<sub>2</sub> and 4%–6% of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in Shanghai and Suzhou in summer, even more effective than local emission control in Suzhou in winter. The 10% emission control in Jiangsu will also reduce 1%–2% of SO<sub>2</sub>, 0.8% of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and 0.1%–2% of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in Shanghai and Jiaxing. Emission control in Shanghai will reduce 1% of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in Jiaxing during winter, as well as 0.5%–1% of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in Suzhou in summer.

#### **3** Conclusions

Based on the modeling sensitivity analysis, the effectiveness of the 11th and 12th FYP national control policies in improvement of air quality in China was evaluated. The controls of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO*x* emissions during the 11th and 12th FYPs have improved the air quality in metropolitan areas of China, particularly the ambient SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and inorganic aerosol concentrations. The SO<sub>2</sub> and NO*x* emission reductions benefited regional O<sub>3</sub> and total nitrogen deposition, but more efforts are still needed to further control the NO*x* emissions as well as the emissions of VOC and NH<sub>3</sub>.

There are significant inter-provincial impacts among the cities within the Hebei-Beijing-Tianjin area and YRD, so the actions of regional joint controls can improve the regional air quality more efficiently. Since the effects of multi-region control can be different from the sum of individual effects, this study has limitations in solving the nonlinear issue. But considering the nonlinear issue for  $O_3$  and  $NH_3$ , the effectiveness of emission reduction will be strengthened with stricter control efforts. Therefore, the effects of regional joint controls shall be more significant.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Science Fund for Creative Research Groups of the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21221004), the Special Fund of the State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control (No. 12L05ESPC) and the MEP's Special Funds for Research on Public Welfares (No. 201309009, 2011467003). The corresponding author, Prof. Shuxiao Wang, is supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (No. NCET-10-0532) and the China Scholarship Council. The authors also acknowledge the free use of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column data from the DOMINO (Dutch OMI NO<sub>2</sub>) product by KNMI, and SO<sub>2</sub> column data from SCIAMACHY product by BIRA-IASB/ESA.

#### REFERENCES

- BIRA-IASB (Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy), 2008. Volcanic & Air Quality SO<sub>2</sub> Service – Product Information. Brussels, Belgium.
- Boersma K F, Eskes H J, Veefkind J P, Brinksma E J, van der A R J, Sneep M et al., 2007. Near-real time retrieval of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> from OMI. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7(8): 2013–2128.
- Chan C K, Yao X H, 2008. Air pollution in mega cities in China. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(1): 1–42.
- Hao J M, He K B, Duan L, Li J H, Wang L T, 2007. Air pollution and its control in China. Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering in China, 1(2): 129–142.
- Li C, Zhang Q, Krotkov N A, Streets D G, He K B, Tsay S C et al., 2010. Recent large reduction in sulfur dioxide emissions from Chinese power plants observed by the ozone monitoring instrument. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37: L08807. DOI: 10.1029/2010GL042594.
- Li J H, He H, Hu C, Zhao J C, 2013. The abatement of major pollutants in air and water by environmental catalysis. *Frontiers*

of Environmental Science and Engineering, 7(3): 302–325.

- Shao M, Tang X Y, Zhang Y H, Li W J, 2006. City clusters in China: Air and surface water pollution. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 4(7): 353–361.
- Wang L T, Jang C, Zhang Y, Wang K, Zhang Q, Streets D G et al., 2010a. Assessment of air quality benefits from national air pollution control policies in China. Part II: Evaluation of air quality predictions and air quality benefits assessment. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44 (28): 3449–3457.
- Wang S X, Zhao M, Xing J, Wu Y, Zhou Y, Lei Y et al., 2010b. Quantifying the air pollutants emission reduction during the 2008 Olympic Games in Beijing. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44(7): 2490–2496.
- Wang S X, Xing J, Chatani S, Hao J M, Klimont Z, Cofala J et al., 2011a. Verification of anthropogenic emissions of China by satellite and ground observations. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45(35): 6347– 6358.
- Wang S X, Xing J, Jang C, Zhu Y, Fu J S, Hao J M, 2011b. Impact assessment of ammonia emissions on inorganic aerosols in east China using response surface modeling technique. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(21): 9293–9300.
- Wang S X, Hao J M, 2012. Air quality management in China: Issues, challenges, and options. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 24(1): 2–13.
- Xing J, Wang S X, Chatani S, Zhang C Y, Wei W, Klimont Z et al., 2011a. Projections of air pollutant emissions and its impacts on regional air quality in China in 2020. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(7): 3119–3136.
- Xing J, Wang S X, Jang C, Zhu Y, Hao J M, 2011b. Nonlinear response of ozone to precursor emission changes in China: A modeling study using response surface methodology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(10): 5027–5044.
- Zhao B, Wang S X, Xu J Y, Fu K, Klimont Z, Hao J M et al., 2013a. NOx emissions in China: Historical trends and future perspectives. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13(6): 16047-16112
- Zhao B, Wang S X, Dong X Y, Wang J D, Duan L, Fu X et al., 2013b. Environmental effects of the recent emission changes in China: Implications for particulate matter pollution and soil acidification. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2): 24031.
- Zhao B, Wang S X, Wang J D, Fu J S, Liu T H, Xu J Y et al., 2013c. Impact of national NOx and SO<sub>2</sub> control policies on particulate matter pollution in China. *Atmospheric Environment*, 77: 453–463.
- Zhao Y, Duan L, Xing J, Larssen T, Nielsen C P, Hao J M, 2009. Soil acidification in China: is controlling SO<sub>2</sub> emissions enough? *Environmental Science and Technology*, 43(21): 8021–8026.

Note . The off



#### **Editorial Board of Journal of Environmental Sciences**

#### Editor-in-Chief

Hongxiao Tang

Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

#### Associate Editors-in-Chief

Jiuhui Qu	Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
Shu Tao	Peking University, China
Nigel Bell	Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Po-Keung Wong	The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

#### Editorial Board

Aquatic environment Baoyu Gao Shandong University, China **Maohong Fan** University of Wyoming, USA Chihpin Huang National Chiao Tung University Taiwan, China Ng Wun Jern Nanyang Environment & Water Research Institute, Singapore Clark C. K. Liu University of Hawaii at Manoa, USA **Hokyong Shon** University of Technology, Sydney, Australia Zijian Wang Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Zhiwu Wang The Ohio State University, USA Yuxiang Wang Queen's University, Canada Min Yang Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China **Zhifeng Yang** Beijing Normal University, China Han-Qing Yu University of Science & Technology of China **Terrestrial environment Christopher Anderson** Massey University, New Zealand **Zucong Cai** Nanjing Normal University, China Xinbin Feng Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Hongqing Hu Huazhong Agricultural University, China Kin-Che Lam The Chinese University of Hong Kong Hong Kong, China Erwin Klumpp Research Centre Juelich, Agrosphere Institute Germany Peijun Li Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Michael Schloter German Research Center for Environmental Health Germany Xuejun Wang Peking University, China Lizhong Zhu Zhejiang University, China Atomospheric environment Jianmin Chen Fudan University, China Abdelwahid Mellouki Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique France Yujing Mu Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. China Min Shao Peking University, China James Jay Schauer University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA Yuesi Wang Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Xin Yang University of Cambridge, UK **Environmental biology** Yong Cai Florida International University, USA Henner Hollert RWTH Aachen University, Germany Jae-Seong Lee Hanyang University, South Korea **Christopher Rensing** University of Copenhagen, Denmark **Bojan Sedmak** National Institute of Biology, Ljubljana Lirong Song Institute of Hydrobiology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Chunxia Wang National Natural Science Foundation of China Gehong Wei Northwest A & F University, China Daqiang Yin Tongji University, China Zhongtang Yu The Ohio State University, USA

Environmental toxicology and health Jingwen Chen Dalian University of Technology, China Jianving Hu Peking University, China Guibin Jiang Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Sijin Liu Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Tsuyoshi Nakanishi Gifu Pharmaceutical University, Japan Willie Peijnenburg University of Leiden, The Netherlands **Bingsheng Zhou** Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Environmental catalysis and materials Hong He Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Junhua Li Tsinghua University, China Wenfeng Shangguan Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China Yasutake Teraoka Kyushu University, Japan Ralph T. Yang University of Michigan, USA Environmental analysis and method Zongwei Cai Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China Jiping Chen Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Minghui Zheng Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Municipal solid waste and green chemistry Pinjing He Tongji University, China **Environmental ecology Rusong Wang** Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Editorial office staff

Managing editor	Qingcai Feng		
Editors	Zixuan Wang	Suqin Liu	Zhengang Mao
English editor	Catherine Rice	(USA)	

Copyright® Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. and Science Press. All rights reserved.

### JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

环境科学学报(英文版)

### (http://www.jesc.ac.cn)

#### Aims and scope

*Journal of Environmental Sciences* is an international academic journal supervised by Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The journal publishes original, peer-reviewed innovative research and valuable findings in environmental sciences. The types of articles published are research article, critical review, rapid communications, and special issues.

The scope of the journal embraces the treatment processes for natural groundwater, municipal, agricultural and industrial water and wastewaters; physical and chemical methods for limitation of pollutants emission into the atmospheric environment; chemical and biological and phytoremediation of contaminated soil; fate and transport of pollutants in environments; toxicological effects of terrorist chemical release on the natural environment and human health; development of environmental catalysts and materials.

#### For subscription to electronic edition

Elsevier is responsible for subscription of the journal. Please subscribe to the journal via http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jes.

#### For subscription to print edition

China: Please contact the customer service, Science Press, 16 Donghuangchenggen North Street, Beijing 100717, China. Tel: +86-10-64017032; E-mail: journal@mail.sciencep.com, or the local post office throughout China (domestic postcode: 2-580).

Outside China: Please order the journal from the Elsevier Customer Service Department at the Regional Sales Office nearest you.

#### Submission declaration

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. The submission should be approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out. If the manuscript accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder.

#### Submission declaration

Submission of the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. The publication should be approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out. If the manuscript accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder.

#### Editorial

Authors should submit manuscript online at http://www.jesc.ac.cn. In case of queries, please contact editorial office, Tel: +86-10-62920553, E-mail: jesc@263.net, jesc@rcees.ac.cn. Instruction to authors is available at http://www.jesc.ac.cn.

Journal of Environmenta	al Sciences	(Established in 1989)
Vol. 26	No. 1	2014

CN 11-2629/X	Domestic postcode: 2-580		Domestic price per issue RMB ¥ 110.00
Editor-in-chief	Hongxiao Tang	Printed by	Beijing Beilin Printing House, 100083, China
	E-mail: jesc@263.net, jesc@rcees.ac.cn		http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jes
	Tel: 86-10-62920553; http://www.jesc.ac.cn	Foreign	Elsevier Limited
	P. O. Box 2871, Beijing 100085, China		Local Post Offices through China
	Environmental Sciences		North Street, Beijing 100717, China
Edited by	Editorial Office of Journal of	Domestic	Science Press, 16 Donghuangchenggen
	Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences	Distributed by	
Sponsored by	Research Center for Eco-Environmental		Elsevier Limited, The Netherlands
Supervised by	Chinese Academy of Sciences	Published by	Science Press, Beijing, China

